A Kano Model based Computer System for Respondents Determination:

Customer needs analysis for Product Development Aspects

Md Mamunur Rashid[1]

Abstract: The first step to develop a product is to identify a set of customer needs. In this regard, Kano model is often used. Using Kano model, a product developer can identify whether or not a product attribute is attractive, must-be, or alike. To do so, it is important to obtain customers' opinions using a prescribed questionnaire. This raises a fundamental question that is how many customers should be asked to make a reliable conclusion. We have developed a system that simulates customer answers in accordance with Kano model. We have used the system to know the minimal number of customers needed to determine whether or not a product attribute is attractive, must-be, reverse, or alike. We intend to show the results related to the abovementioned issue and discuss how to integrate the system into a real-life product development process.
Keywords: Virtual Customer; Computer System; Product Development; Kano Model

1. INTRODUCTION

Product development is a complex engineering task wherein a great deal of human-physical resources, methods, and tools are involved (Fujita and Matsuo, 2006 and Browning et al. 2006,). Figure 1 shows the product development cycle that consists of Strategic Goal, Customer Needs Assessment, Conceptualization, Product Realization, and Satisfaction. In this study, we deal with the issue of Customer Needs Assessment. To know the needs of the customers for a given product (or a set of products), the usual practice is to use questionnaires and obtain the opinion of customers. This raises a fundamental question that is how many customers should be asked to make a reliable conclusion. To support a product development team by providing the answer of the above question, a simulation system can be used, as schematically shown in Fig. 1. This paper is written from this context. This paper is presented in the Conference (Rashid et al.2010) . The remainder of this paper is as follows. Section 2 shows the basic considerations to simulate the customer answers. Section 3 shows some results, which is followed by the concluding remarks of this study.

---
[1] Doctoral Student, Kitami Institute of Technology, Hokkaido, 090-8507, Japan. E-mail: dse10831@std.kitami-it.ac.jp.

| Product Development Cycle	Product Development Team	Simulation System for Virtual Customer Needs

Figure 1: The context of this study

2. KANO-MODEL-BASED CUSTOMER ANSWERS

There are many models for customer needs assessments. One of the well-respected models is Kano model (Kano et al.1984). To implement Kano model, a two-dimensional questionnaire is prepared for each product attribute. One of the parts of a questionnaire is called *functional* part and the other is called *dysfunctional* part. A respondent selects Like, Must-be, Neutral, Live-with, or Dislike from the functional part assuming the proposed attribute *is working*. A respondent also selects Like, Must-be, Neutral, Live-with, or Dislike from the dysfunctional part assuming that the proposed attribute *is not working*.


Functional Answer:
Like
Must-be ✔
Neutral
Live-with
Dislike

Dysfunctional Answer:
Like
Must-be
Neutral
Live-with ✔
Dislike ✔

(Must-be, Dislike) → Must-be
Figure 2: A Must-be attribute

Functional Answer: Dysfunctional Answer:
Like   Like ✔
Must-be   Must-be
Neutral   Neutral
Live-with   Live-with
Dislike ✔   Dislike

(Dislike, Like) → Reverse

Figure 3: A Reverse attribute

The combination of functional and dysfunctional answers is then used to know whether or not the proposed attribute is *Attractive (A)*, *One-dimensional (O)*, *Must-be (M)*, *Indifferent (I)*, *Reverse (R), or Questionable (Q)*. For example, consider the case shown Fig. 2 where the product attribute is a "circular-shaped bicycle wheel". The circular-shaped bicycle wheel is a Must-be attribute ("*Atarimae*" in Japanese). As such, a respondent most likely answers Must-be from the functional part and Dislike from the dysfunctional part. Figure 3 shows another example, wherein the product attribute is a "triangular-shaped bicycle wheel." In this case, the answer would be Dislike from the functional part and Like from the dysfunctional part. This leads to a conclusion that the product attribute (triangular-shaped bicycle wheel) is Reverse attribute.

Kano model provides a mapping between two-dimensional answers and attribute status, as shown in Table 1. Therefore, to simulate customer answers in accordance with the Kano model, it is important to simulate functional answers (X) and dysfunctional answers (Y) independently and then map the combination (X,Y) into a Kano evaluation ((X,Y)→Z) shown in Table 1. Note that X, Y ∈{Like, Must-be, Neutral, Live-with, Dislike} and Z ∈ {A, O, M, I, R, Q}.

Table 1: Kano evaluation (Z) of product attribute.

Functional Answers (X) (↓)	Dysfunctional Answers (Y)				
	Like	**Must-be**	**Neutral**	**Live-with**	**Dislike**
Like	Q	A	A	A	O
Must-be	R	I	I	I	M
Neutral	R	I	I	I	M
Live-with	R	I	I	I	M
Dislike	R	R	R	R	Q

3. RESULTS

Based on above consideration, a simulation system is developed using MS Excel™. The system is shown in **Appendix A**. To use the system, the user needs to input the probabilities of Like, Must-be, Neutral, Live-with, and Dislike for both functional and dysfunctional parts. The system uses Monte Carlo simulation of discrete events (Ullah and Tamaki, 2010) and provides the probability of Kano evaluation. The user can define how many times he/she wants to run the simulation. For example, consider the case of Must-be attribute, as shown in Fig. 2. For this case, a most-likely probability distribution of functional/dysfunctional answers is shown in Fig. 4.

Figure 4: Probabilities of functional and dysfunctional answers

Based on these probabilities the simulation system has been used to simulate functional and dysfunctional answers independently and to identify the corresponding Kano evaluation. The probabilities of Kano evaluations of the simulated answers are observed. The results of 1000 and 100 simulations are shown in Fig. 5.

(for 100 simulated answers)

(for 1000 simulated answers)
Figure 5: Variation in the simulated evaluation

The above results imply that if someone relies on answers from 100 respondents and get relative frequencies of functional and dysfunctional answers similar to those shown in Fig. 4, he/she can definitely say that the underlying attribute is a Must-be attribute. This also implies that one can use the survey of 100 respondents to prove clearly whether or not an attribute is Must-be or something else. Further study will be carried out to determine the threshold number of respondents for such attributes as Attractive, One-dimensional, Indifferent, and Reverse.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

A simulation system has been developed to simulate Kano-model-based customer answers and evaluation. Using this system a product development team can identify beforehand how many customers that should ask to determine whether or not a product attribute is Attractive, Must-be, One-dimensional, Indifferent, or Reverse.

REFERENCE

Browning, T.R., Fricke, E. and Negele, H. (2006). Key Concepts in Modeling Product Development Processes. *Systems Engineering, 9*(2), 104-128.

Fujita, K. and Matsuo, T.. (2006). S*urvey and Analysis of Utilization of Tools and Methods in Product Development*. Trans Japan Soc Mech Eng Ser C *72*(713), 290–297. (in Japanese).

Kano, N. Seraku, N. Takahashi, F. and Tsuji, S.. (1984). Attractive Quality and Must-be Quality. *Hinshitsu, 14*(2), 39–48. (in Japanese).

Rashid, M.M., Tamaki, J., Ullah, A.M.M.S. and Kubo, A. (2010). A Virtual Customer Needs System for Product Development, *Proceedings of the JSPE Hokkaido Chapter Annual Conference*, 4 September 2010, paper No.307, pp53-54.Sapporo, Japan.

Ullah, A.M.M.S. and Tamaki, J.. (2010). Analysis of Kano-Model-Based Customer Needs for Product Development. *Systems Engineering*.

APPENDIX A: A DEVELOPED COMPUTER SYSTEM